Following Mali’s coup d’état of 18 August 2020, the transitional government is yet to present a roadmap for peace in central Mali outlining a new strategy for dialogue with armed non-state actors. To support this process, it is important that Mali’s international donors identify already-existing local peace agreements and support local-level dialogue with all parties to conflicts.

Since 2015 Mali’s expanding security crisis has escalated in the country’s central region, Mopti, aggravated by local conflicts over natural resources with longstanding political roots along with lawlessness and growing economic criminality. As jihadist groups under Al Qaeda and the Islamic State in Greater Sahara settle into newly conquered territories,

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

- Immediate de-escalation of conflicts is needed through disarmament of militias and rebuilding of trust between local communities and Mali’s armed forces, with a strong focus on protecting civilians.

- Mali needs a national, comprehensive strategy for how to include jihadists and local militias in dialogue, reconciliation and dispute resolution.

- International donors need to identify already-existing local peace agreements and support local-level dialogue between all parties to conflicts.

- Long-term solutions regulating equal access to natural resources for different population groups are key.
jihadists, self-defence militias and the security and defence forces commit atrocities against the local population. Yet, recently, jihadist groups seem to be succeeding in brokering local peace agreements through violent oppression. If the state is to take back control of jihadist-controlled areas, a new social contract between the state and its local populations that tackles the existing social, economic and political grievances is needed.

The state is absent, jihadist groups control some areas by introducing new, often violent, rules and institutions for regulating disputes and access to key natural resources. Moreover, in the epicentres of communal violence between Dogon and Fulani groups, the jihadists have successfully brokered local agreements that allow them to control communities and to finance criminal activities.

The main armed actors in Mopti

Jihadist groups include various subgroups of Islamic State in the Great Sahara (EIGS) and the regional Al Qaeda branch, Jama‘at Nusrat al Islam wal Muslimin (JNIM). These groups capitalise on poverty and a sense of abandonment to recruit, particularly among Fulani herders. Fighters from in and outside the region, including Fulanis from Niger and Burkina Faso such as Ansaroul Islam, have joined the jihadists in Mopti. The disproportionate presence of Fulani among jihadists has ruined inter-community trust and subjected all Fulani to unprecedented stigmatisation, even though the jihadists among the Fulani are a minority.

Mali’s security and defence forces (SDF) have been accused of extrajudicial abuses of civilians, especially the Fulani, whom the SDF also accuse of being jihadists or collaborators of jihadists. In the first trimester of 2020 the UN reported more than 100 civilians killed by the SDF. Due to the many jihadist attacks on military outposts, the SDF is concentrating in larger bases, while withdrawing from areas in dire need of protection.

Where the state is absent, jihadist groups control some areas by introducing new, often violent, rules and institutions for regulating disputes and access to key natural resources. Moreover, in the epicentres of communal violence between Dogon and Fulani groups, the jihadists have successfully brokered local agreements that allow them to control communities and to finance criminal activities.
peace agreements. In contrast to several internationally supported local peace agreements that exclude the jihadists, the jihadists broker agreements that seem to last beyond short-term ceasefires.

**Jihadist peacebuilding in the drylands**

Jihadists control large parts of the Koro area with support from Ansaroul Islam fighters from across the border with Burkina Faso. For nearly three years violent clashes and economic oppression have caused serious food shortages and broken historical ties between the Dogon and Fulani communities. The jihadists have operated by surrounding the Dogon’s fields and threatening to attack them if they enter, and by setting up roadblocks to prevent Dogon villages from accessing food supplies. In revenge, the Dan Nan Ambassagou militia have attacked Fulani herders and prevented them from accessing Dogon villages, markets, schools and health facilities. They have also introduced taxes and garrisons in Dogon villages. In response to these tensions, in July 2020 the Dogon villagers organised to negotiate with the jihadist leaders. To convince the jihadists to lift the embargo, the community representatives invoked the historical links and blood pacts between the Dogon and Fulani communities. Finally, the jihadists implemented a peace agreement that has ended intercommunal violence and to which, so far, both Fulani and Dogon parties have adhered. The jihadists set several conditions to allow farming, logging and herding.

---

The Niger river’s inner Delta, also known as the Macina, is West Africa’s largest flood plain. Situated on the edge of the Sahara desert, it is a historically contested space that provides access to important natural resources for local herders and farmers and the national food production.
namely: to expel Dan An Ambassagou; a ban on arms; introduction of sharia-based family laws and taxes; a ban on any contact with the Malian state and army; and respect for customary agreements governing the use of land and resources. According to our sources, people have diverging opinions about the agreement. Some speak of an obvious power imbalance between the Dogons and the jihadists. Others believe that the agreement is an opportunity for peace.

**Jihadist embargo in the Delta**

Some 300 kilometres from the escarpments of Dogon country another kind of jihadist settlement took place after the Malian army (FAMA) was deployed in Djalloubé in 2018 to strengthen security in the Delta region. Jihadists managed to turn the population against the armed forces by imposing embargos and blocking movements to and from the villages. They also kidnapped and assassinated several people and banned traditional fisheries and access to agricultural fields.

After a year, the village notables formed a commission of 20 people to negotiate a lifting of the jihadist embargo. After three months, a minimum agreement was reached with hard restrictions. The jihadists ordered the population to break with FAMA, which the jihadists considered to be Dahoutou (authorities of evil) and to return to sharia and jihad. In return the population was allowed access to food supplies and to resume agricultural and fishing activities.

**Supporting local mediation efforts**

These examples of locally-brokered peace agreements have so far received scant attention from international actors in Mali. While international support has been given to local-level dialogue, this has often been pursued in an uncoordinated manner and with few lasting results. To enhance these efforts, Mali’s international support partners should begin by identifying the already-established local peace agreements, based on participatory dialogue with family and community representatives, religious and customary authorities and local conflict mediation experts. In doing so it is important to avoid biased approaches through basing engagement on in-depth, context-specific knowledge of social political practices and cultural–religious beliefs. Building trust and ensuring broad participation by facilitating negotiations between community members, traditional, customary and religious and armed non-state actors is also necessary to redress the current imbalance in natural resource management. This also means redefining the role of local institutions in the sustainable resolution of inter-community conflicts.

Given the strong position of jihadist groups in conflict-affected areas, and to avoid obstruction, it is important to consider how to include jihadist groups in local negotiations and peace agreements. This involves bridging peacebuilding efforts and supporting dialogue and reconciliation.

---

**Community leader in Koro**

“The important thing is to save lives. There is no such thing as a bad peace.”

**Boubacar Ba, independent researcher (boubacarba825@gmail.com) and Signe Marie Cold-Ravnkilde, Senior Researcher, DIIS (smr@diis.dk)**

Cover photo: Malian refugees with their cattle in Burkina Faso near the border with Mali (photo courtesy of VSF)